(20.7) Assume that the probability of not restoring AC power within 20 minutes is reduced by a factor of 5 in Fig. 20.8. (a) Which is now the risk dominating event chain? (b) Is it better to reduce the total risk in Fig. 20.8 by this change or by improving the stability of the HV mains with a factor of 2 by e.g. building more power stations?

Base case is:

$$p_T := \frac{1}{25}$$

$$p_{noscram} = 3 \cdot 10^{-7}$$
 $r_1 = p_T p_{noscram}$

$$r_1 = p T p noscram$$

$$p_{noblow} = 0$$

$$r_2 = p T p noblow$$

$$r_3 = p T p noel$$

$$p_{nolpci} = 2 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

$$r_4 = p T p nolpci$$

$$p_{noAC} = 4 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

$$r_5 = p T p noAC$$

$$p_{nocool} = 6.10^{-7}$$

$$r_6 = p T p nocool$$

$$Risk_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{6} r_i$$

Risk
$$_0 = 9.28 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

No AC-power within 20 min risk reduced by a factor of 5.

$$p_T := \frac{1}{25}$$

$$p_{noscram} = 3 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

$$r_1 = p T p noscram$$

$$r_1 = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

$$p_{noblow} = 0$$

$$r_2 = p T p_{noblow}$$

$$r_2 = 0$$

$$p_{noel} := \frac{1 \cdot 10^{-6}}{5}$$
 $r_3 := p_T p_{noel}$ $r_3 = 8 \cdot 10^{-9}$

$$r_3 = p T p_{noe}$$

$$r_3 = 8.10^{-9}$$

$$r_4 = 8.10^{-10}$$

$$p_{noAC} = 4 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

$$r_5 = p T p noAC$$

$$r_5 = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

$$p_{nocool} = 6 \cdot 10^{-7}$$

$$r_6 = p T p nocool$$

$$r_6 = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

Risk
$$_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{6} r_i$$

Risk
$$_1 = 6.08 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

HV-mains stability increase by 2

$$p_T := \frac{1}{25} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

$$p_{noscram} = 3 \cdot 10^{-7}$$
 $r_1 = p_T p_{noscram}$

$$p_{noblow} = 0$$
 $r_2 = p_T p_{noblow}$

$$p_{noel} = 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$$
 $r_3 = p_T p_{noel}$

$$p_{nolpci} = 2.10^{-8}$$

$$r_4 = p_T p_{nolpci}$$

$$p_{noAC} = 4 \cdot 10^{-7}$$
 $r_5 = p_T p_{noAC}$

$$p_{nocool} = 6 \cdot 10^{-7}$$
 $r_6 = p_T p_{nocool}$

$$Risk_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} r_i$$
 $Risk_2 = 4.64 \cdot 10^{-8}$

Answers: (a) no rest heat cooling to the sea

(b):
$$\frac{Risk_0}{Risk_2} = 2$$
 $\frac{Risk_0}{Risk_1} = 1.5$; *i.e.* it is better to improve the stability of the mains.